International Journal of Forensic Expert Alliance

https://doi.org/10.70818/ijfea.v01i02.012

Vol. 1, No. 2, 2024

ARTICLE | OPEN ACCESS

Decoding the Science of Fingerprints: The Influence of Sex and Blood Group on Dermatoglyphic Traits among Medical Students

Shammi Akter¹, ABM Kamrul Hasan², Happy Rani Barua³, Md Kafil Uddin⁴

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, North Bengal Medical College, Dhanbandhi, Sirajganj, Bangladesh. ² Assistant Professor, Department of Transfusion medicine, Dinajpur Medical College, Dinajpur, Bangladesh. ³ Assistant Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, Chattogram Maa O Shishu Hospital Medical College, Agrabad, Chattogram, Bangladesh. ⁴ Associate Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi, Bangladesh.

ABSTRACT: Background: Fingerprint or dermatoglyphics is the study of the patterns of dermal ridges and bridges on the volar surfaces of the fingers, palms, and soles. Fingerprint ridge patterns begin to form between the 12th and 16th weeks of intrauterine life and are fully developed by the 24th week. Fingerprints exhibit a vast range of possible variations, with the probability of two individuals having identical fingerprints estimated at one in sixty-four billion. Even identical twins have distinct fingerprints. Materials and methods: This descriptive cross-sectional study aimed to explore the relationship between sociodemographic factors (age, gender, blood group and handedness) in medical students through fingerprint analysis. Conducted at North Bengal Medical College, the study included 390 undergraduate students from the 1st to 5th year, selected using census sampling. Inclusion criteria included students willing to participate and provide accurate sociodemographic information. Exclusion criteria included graduate students, those with skin conditions affecting fingerprint analysis, and those who did not consent. Data was collected using a structured questionnaire and fingerprint analysis. Descriptive statistics and the Chi-square test were used for analysis. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) before the study. Result: The sociodemographic profile of 390 medical students revealed a mean age of 21.95 years, with 58% females and 42% males. Most students were right-handed (95.9%), and blood group B+ was the most common (36.7%). Fingerprint analysis showed that whorls were the predominant pattern across all fingers, with variations in distribution by sex and blood group. Significant sex differences were found in fingerprint patterns, with males exhibiting more whorls and females showing higher frequencies of arches and radial loops. Blood group associations were observed for both hands, with B+ and O+ blood groups showing stronger links to whorl patterns. Chisquare tests revealed significant associations between fingerprint patterns and blood groups for both hands (p < 0.05), suggesting a genetic correlation between these traits. Conclusion: In conclusion, this study highlights significant associations between sociodemographic factors, including sex and blood group, and fingerprint patterns in medical students. The findings suggest that fingerprint patterns, particularly whorls, are influenced by genetic factors related to blood groups and sex differences. These results contribute to the understanding of the genetic underpinnings of fingerprint formation and may provide valuable insights for future research in the field of biometrics and genetic traits.

Keywords: Suicide, Elderly Deaths, Cause of Death, Socio-Economic Status, Physical Illness, Mental Illness.



ISSN (Print): 3078-6673

ISSN (Online): 3078-6681

*Correspondence: Dr. Shammi Akter

How to cite this article:

Akter S, Hasan ABMK, Barua HR, Uddin MK; Decoding the Science of Fingerprints: The Influence of Sex and Blood Group on Dermatoglyphic Traits among Medical Students. Int. J. Forensic Expert Alliance. 2024; 1 (2): 17-26

Article history:

Received: July 28, 2024 Revised: September 26, 2024 Accepted: November 12, 2024 Published: December 27, 2024

Peer Review Process:

The Journal abides by a double-blind peer review process such that the journal does not disclose the identity of the reviewer(s) to the author(s) and does not disclose the identity of the author(s) to the reviewer(s).



Copyright: © 2024 by the author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Fingerprint or dermatoglyphics is the study of the patterns of dermal ridges and bridges on the volar surfaces of the fingers, palms, and soles.¹ The development of fingerprints is influenced by both

genetic factors and environmental or accidental factors that create tension during the development process. Fingerprint ridge patterns begin to form between the 12th and 16th weeks of intrauterine life and are fully developed by the 24th week.

Fingerprints exhibit a vast range of possible variations, with the probability of two individuals having identical fingerprints estimated at one in sixtyfour billion. Even identical twins have distinct fingerprints. Any disruptions in intrauterine growth that affect the extremities can lead to abnormal fingerprint patterns. These disturbances may arise from either hereditary or environmental factors.1-5 Fingerprinting is commonly used as an efficient and cost-effective method of identification. impressions left by fingerprints are referred to as fingerprint patterns. The skin on the fingers is made up of raised areas (ridges) and recessed areas (grooves). Fingerprints are influenced by a variety of factors, including genetic, environmental, regional factors.6-8 Individuals can be identified through various features such as their face, height, body shape, gait, voice, and sex. Among these, sex is one of the most significant characteristics for distinguishing individuals. Researchers explored the use of fingerprints for gender identification, which can be particularly useful for narrowing down suspects.

In recent years, an increasing number of civilian and commercial applications are either utilizing or actively considering fingerprint-based identification. This is due to the availability of affordable and compact solid-state scanners, as well as the technology's proven superior performance in matching compared to other biometric methods.9 People may show similar variations in their interests, values, desires, and motivations because of systematic differences in how they perceive things and the conclusions they draw. They may also vary in what they excel at and what they enjoy doing the most. The indicator follows this idea and seeks to identify individuals' core preferences for perception and judgment through self-reported reactions, aiming to understand how these preferences interact with one another in their daily lives. 10 Even in monozygotic dermatoglyphics twins. (fingerprints) consistent and unique from birth to death. A person's fingerprint serves as a distinct form of identification, being a permanent and individualized trait that is highly detailed and difficult to alter. Similarly, a person's blood type is a biological characteristic that stays the same throughout their life. 11-13 In psychology, one of the most significant efforts has been the measurement of intelligence, which plays a crucial role not only in an individual's life but also in society. Compared to individuals with high IQs, those with low IQs may face difficulties in acquiring, thinking about, and processing new information and knowledge. These individuals may require additional care, education, and medical services, with the need for ongoing support throughout their lives. This is especially true for those with low IQs linked to genetic abnormalities, as they often struggle to achieve personal independence. It is believed that approximately 50% of these challenges have a prenatal origin.¹⁴

Aims and Objectives

To examine the relationship between sociodemographic factors (age, gender, socioeconomic status, and blood group) and fingerprints in medical students.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study designed to explore the relationship between sociodemographic factors (age, gender, blood group and handedness) in medical students, through fingerprint analysis. The study was conducted at North Bengal Medical College, located at J.C. Road, Dhanbandhi, Sirajganj. Census sampling was used to include all undergraduate medical students from 1st to 5th years of the college. The study population consisted of 390 undergraduate medical students from the 1st to 5th year of North Bengal Medical College.

Inclusion Criteria

- 1. Medical students enrolled in the 1st to 5th years at North Bengal Medical College.
- 2. Students who voluntarily consent to participate in the study.
- Students who were willing to provide accurate sociodemographic information and undergo fingerprint analysis.

Exclusion Criteria

- Medical students do not enroll in the undergraduate program (e.g., graduate or postgraduate students).
- 2. Students who refused to participate or provided incomplete data.
- 3. Students with any known skin conditions or physical conditions that may interfere with fingerprint analysis.

4. Students who did not provide informed consent.

Data Collection Method

Data was collected using a structured questionnaire, which included questions related to sociodemographic factors (such as age, gender, handedness, and blood group). The fingerprint analysis was performed using a standard method of collecting and analyzing fingerprints. Before data collection began, participants were briefed about the study's objectives and were provided with an informed consent form to sign. The principal investigator then administered the structured questionnaire to all eligible students. Fingerprint recorded patterns were using standardized equipment, and participants were asked to provide their blood group information. The study involved 390 participants, each providing their name, age, and gender. The participants were instructed to clean their hands using tap water and soap, then dry them to remove any dirt. Following this, they were asked to roll their fingertip pads on a forensic fingerprint ink pad, ensuring even ink application on the finger's tip by rolling the thumb towards the body while keeping the other fingers out. The fingerprints were then rolled onto paper from the outside to the inside, capturing the full fingerprint impression (using the ink method by Cummins and Midlo). If any prints were deemed undesirable, the procedure was repeated. The resulting fingerprint patterns were categorized into loops, whorls, arches, or composite forms, using a magnification lens for better clarity. Following data

collection, the information underwent a process of scrutiny for accuracy, after which it was compiled and organized. The data were then presented for statistical analysis. A professional data manager conducted statistical analysis using statistical software. Statistical analysis was performed using the χ^2 test and p-value. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software (version 23). Descriptive statistics, including mean, standard deviations, and frequencies, were used to summarize sociodemographic characteristics and psychological traits. The Chi-square test was applied to examine associations.

RESULTS

The sociodemographic profile of the 390 students in this research reveals several key characteristics. The participants have a mean age of 21.95 years, indicating that the sample primarily consists of young adults. In terms of sex distribution, there is a higher proportion of female students (58%) compared to male students (42%). When considering handedness, the majority of participants (95.9%) are right-handed, with a small percentage (4.1%) being left-handed, and no participants identified as ambidextrous. Blood group distribution shows diversity, with the most common blood group being B+ (36.7%), followed by O+ (24.4%), A+ (20.5%), and AB+ (12.8%). A smaller proportion of students have the blood groups A- (2.3%), B- (0.8%), AB- (0.8%), and O- (1.8%) shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Sociodemographic Pattern of Students

| Variables | Frequency n= 390 (%) |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Mean age | 21.9487 |
| Sex | |
| Male | 146 (42) |
| Female | 226 (58) |
| Handedness | |
| Right-handed | 374 (95.9) |
| Left-handed | 16 (4.1) |
| Ambidextrous | 0 |
| Blood group | |
| A+ve | 80 (20.5) |
| A-ve | 9 (2.3) |
| B+ve | 143 (36.7) |
| B-ve | 3 (0.8) |
| AB+ve | 50 (12.8) |
| AB-ve | 3 (0.8) |
| O+ve | 95 (24.4) |
| | |

O-ve 7 (1.8)

The fingerprint patterns observed on both hands of the 390 participants reveal distinct trends across different fingers. For the thumb, whorls were the most common pattern on both the right (62.1%) and left (53.3%) hands, with radial loops more prevalent on the left hand (16.2%) compared to the right (7.9%). The index finger also exhibited a predominance of whorls, with 48.7% on the right and 47.9% on the left, while radial loops were more frequent on the left (16.9%) than on the right (10%). The middle finger displayed a higher percentage of

whorls on the left (45.9%) than the right (43.3%), with a notable increase in radial loops on the left hand (22.6%) compared to the right (9.5%). For the ring finger, whorls were again the most common pattern, with 65.9% on the right hand and 61.3% on the left, while radial loops were more pronounced on the left hand (12.8%) compared to the right (6.2%). Lastly, the little finger showed a higher proportion of whorls on the right hand (49.2%) than the left (42.8%), while radial loops were more frequent on the left (25.6%) compared to the right (8.2%) shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Pattern of fingerprints on Both Hands

| Table 2: Pattern of fingerprints on Both Hands | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Finger | Right | Left | | | | | | |
| Thumb | | | | | | | | |
| Whorls | 242 (62.1) | 208 (53.3) | | | | | | |
| Arches | 75 (19.2) | 72(18.5) | | | | | | |
| Ulnar loops | 23 (5.9) | 25(6.4) | | | | | | |
| Radial loops | 31 (7.9) | 63(16.2) | | | | | | |
| Composite | 19 (4.9) | 22(5.6) | | | | | | |
| Index finger | | | | | | | | |
| Whorls | 190 (48.7) | 187 (47.9) | | | | | | |
| Arches | 74 (19) | 85 (21.8) | | | | | | |
| Ulnar loops | 65 (16.7) | 33 (8.5) | | | | | | |
| Radial loops | 39 (10) | 66 (16.9) | | | | | | |
| Composite | 22 (5.6) | 19 (4.9) | | | | | | |
| Middle finger | | | | | | | | |
| Whorls | 169 (43.3) | 179 (45.9) | | | | | | |
| Arches | 82 (21) | 75 (19.2) | | | | | | |
| Ulnar loops | 97 (24.9) | 38 (9.7) | | | | | | |
| Radial loop | 37 (9.5) | 88 (22.6) | | | | | | |
| Composite | 5 (1.3) | 10 (2.6) | | | | | | |
| Ring finger | | | | | | | | |
| Whorls | 252 (65.9) | 239 (61.3) | | | | | | |
| Arches | 54 (13.8) | 62 (15.9) | | | | | | |
| Ulnar loops | 41 (10.5) | 26 (6.9) | | | | | | |
| Radial loops | 24 (6.2) | 50 (12.8) | | | | | | |
| Composite | 14 (3.6) | 13 (3.3) | | | | | | |
| Little finger | | | | | | | | |
| Whorls | 192 (49.2) | 167 (42.8) | | | | | | |
| Arches | 72 (18.5) | 62 (15.9) | | | | | | |
| Ulnar loops | 71 (18.2) | 31 (7.9) | | | | | | |
| Radial loops | 32 (8.2) | 100 (25.6) | | | | | | |
| Composite | 23 (5.9) | 30 (7.7) | | | | | | |

For the right thumb, males and females predominantly exhibited whorl patterns (121). This difference was highly significant with a chi-square value of 31.701 (p=0.000). Similarly, the left thumb

showed a significant difference (chi-square = 16.398, p = 0.003), with males having a greater frequency of whorls (105) and females exhibiting more arches (49) and radial loops (38). On the index fingers, significant

sex differences were also observed. For the right index finger, the distribution of patterns differed significantly (chi-square = 12.145, p = 0.016), with females showing a higher frequency of whorls (99), arches (48), radial loops (27) and composite (18). The left index finger demonstrated a stronger pattern with a chi-square of 31.330 (p=0.000), where females exhibited higher frequencies of arches (47), radial loops (51) and composite patterns (19) compared to males.

Further, the right middle and left middle fingers revealed notable differences in pattern

distribution. Males showed a tendency towards left middle finger whorls (94), whereas females exhibited higher counts of radial (61) and ulnar loops (20). The chi-square results were significant (left middle: chi-square = 22.746, p 0.000). The ring and little fingers also presented significant results. Females showed a higher frequency (131) of whorls on the right ring (chi-square = 36.092, p 0.000) and males showed higher frequency (124) on the left ring fingers (chi-square = 25.889, p 0.000). Additionally, for the left little finger, females had a significantly higher frequency of arches (59) and ulnar loops (26) with (chi-square = 62.203, p 0.000) revealed in Table 3.

Table 3: Association Between Sex and Pattern 0f Fingerprints

| Fingers | Sex | Whorls | Arches | Ulnar | Radial | Composite | Chi | p- |
|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| | | | | loops | loops | | square | value |
| Right | Male (164) | 121 | 24 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 31.701 | 0.000* |
| thumb | Female (226) | 121 | 51 | 10 | 25 | 19 | | |
| Left thumb | Male (164) | 105 | 23 | 7 | 25 | 4 | 16.398 | 0.003* |
| | Female (226) | 103 | 49 | 18 | 38 | 18 | | |
| Right index | Male (164) | 91 | 26 | 31 | 12 | 4 | 12.145 | 0.016 |
| | Female (226) | 99 | 48 | 34 | 27 | 18 | | |
| Left index | Male (164) | 92 | 38 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 31.330 | 0.000* |
| | Female (226) | 95 | 47 | 14 | 51 | 19 | | |
| Right | Male (164) | 83 | 25 | 37 | 19 | 0 | 13.507 | 0.009 |
| middle | Female (226) | 86 | 57 | 60 | 18 | 5 | | |
| Left middle | Male (164) | 94 | 25 | 18 | 27 | 0 | 22.746 | 0.000* |
| | Female (226) | 85 | 50 | 20 | 61 | 10 | | |
| Right ring | Male (164) | 126 | 18 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 36.092 | 0.000* |
| | Female (226) | 131 | 36 | 36 | 9 | 14 | | |
| Left ring | Male (164) | 124 | 19 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 25.889 | 0.000* |
| | Female (226) | 115 | 43 | 19 | 40 | 9 | | |
| Right little | Male (164) | 102 | 20 | 21 | 17 | 4 | 27.565 | 0.000* |
| - | Female (226) | 90 | 52 | 50 | 15 | 19 | | |
| Left little | Male (164) | 91 | 3 | 5 | 55 | 10 | 62.203 | 0.000* |
| | Female (226) | 76 | 59 | 26 | 45 | 20 | | |

The association between fingerprint patterns and blood groups across the five fingers of the right hand, with significant differences observed for all fingers. Chi-square values ranged from 88.405 to 131.277, with p-values 0.000, indicating a strong statistical association between fingerprint patterns

and blood groups. For the right thumb, individuals with blood group B+ve exhibited the highest frequency of whorls, while A+ve and O+ve groups also showed notable differences in pattern distributions. Similar trends were observed for the right index, middle, ring, and little fingers, where specific blood groups were more strongly associated

with particular fingerprint patterns, especially whorls. These findings suggest a significant correlation between blood group and fingerprint pattern distribution, highlighting the potential role of genetic factors in shaping both characteristics revealed in Table 4.

Table 4: Association between fingerprint patterns of right hand with blood group

| Finger | fingerprint | A+ve | A- | B+ve | В- | AB+ve | AB- | O+ve | О- | Chi | P- |
|--------------|-------------|------|----|------|----|-------|-----|------|----|---------|--------|
| | | | ve | | ve | | ve | | ve | square | value |
| Right | Whorls | 47 | 4 | 89 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 67 | 0 | 110.367 | 0.000* |
| thumb | Arches | 15 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 7 | | |
| n=390 | Ulnar loops | 3 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | | |
| | Radial | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | | |
| | loops | | | | | | | | | | |
| | composite | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Right | Whorls | 23 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 131.277 | 0.000* |
| index | Arches | 35 | 5 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | | |
| n=390 | Ulnar loops | 15 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 24 | 0 | | |
| | Radial | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 3 | | |
| | loops | | | | | | | | | | |
| | composite | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | | |
| Right | Whorls | 25 | 3 | 72 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 43 | 7 | 98.861 | 0.000* |
| middle | Arches | 38 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | | |
| n=390 | Ulnar loops | 11 | 4 | 44 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 26 | 0 | | |
| | Radial | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 0 | | |
| | loops | | | | | | | | | | |
| | composite | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | | |
| Right ring | Whorls | 34 | 7 | 116 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 69 | 7 | 88.405 | 0.000* |
| n=390 | Arches | 19 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 0 | | |
| | Ulnar loops | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | | |
| | Radial | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | | |
| | loops | | | | | | | | | | |
| | composite | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | | |
| Right little | Whorls | 37 | 3 | 71 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 57 | 7 | 97.344 | 0.000* |
| n=390 | Arches | 2 | 2 | 35 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 0 | | |
| | Ulnar loops | 4 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 11 | 0 | | |
| | Radial | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 0 | | |
| | loops | | | | | | | | | | |
| | composite | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | | |

The association between fingerprint patterns and blood groups for the left hand, revealing significant associations for most fingers, with p-values consistently below 0.05. For the left thumb, the highest frequency of whorls was seen in individuals with blood group B+ve, with a chi-square value of 117.803 (p < 0.0001). Similar patterns were observed for the left index and middle fingers, where whorls were more

common among individuals with blood group B+ve and O+ve. However, the left little finger's association was not statistically significant (chi-square = 38.436, p = 0.090). Overall, the results suggest that fingerprint patterns, particularly whorls, show significant associations with specific blood groups across most fingers of the left hand, pointing to a potential genetic correlation between these traits shown in Table 5.

| Finger | Table 5: Association fingerprint | A+ve | A-ve | B+ve | B-ve | AB+ve | AB-ve | O+ve | O-ve | Chi | P- |
|-------------|----------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|---------|--------|------|------|---------|--------|
| | 80-1 | 11:10 | | 2.10 | 2 | 112: (0 | 112 (0 | | 0 .0 | square | value |
| Left thumb | Whorls | 38 | 4 | 90 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 117.803 | 0.000* |
| n=390 | Arches | 7 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 7 | | |
| | Ulnar loops | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | | |
| | Radial loops | 14 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | | |
| | composite | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | | |
| Left index | Whorls | 30 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 41 | 4 | 171.057 | 0.000* |
| n=390 | Arches | 22 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 0 | | |
| | Ulnar loops | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 3 | | |
| | Radial loops | 14 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | | |
| | composite | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | | |
| Left middle | Whorls | 12 | 3 | 84 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 48 | 7 | 116.717 | 0.000* |
| n=390 | Arches | 36 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 0 | | |
| | Ulnar loops | 6 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 0 | | |
| | Radial loops | 20 | 0 | 33 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 20 | 0 | | |
| | composite | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | | |
| Left ring | Whorls | 38 | 7 | 95 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 62 | 7 | 92.196 | 0.000* |
| n=390 | Arches | 21 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | | |
| | Ulnar loops | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | | |
| | Radial loops | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | | |
| | composite | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Left little | Whorls | 24 | 3 | 67 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 41 | 3 | 38.436 | 0.090 |
| n=390 | Arches | 16 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | | |
| | Ulnar loops | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | | |
| | Radial loops | 24 | 4 | 28 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 27 | 4 | | |
| | composite | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | | |

DISCUSSION

The sociodemographic profile of the 390 students in this study provides valuable insights into the characteristics of the sample population.⁵ The mean age of 21.95 years reflects a predominantly young adult group, which is typical for many research studies involving university or college students. The higher proportion of female students (58%) compared to males (42%) aligns with trends seen in many academic settings where females tend to outnumber males. In research by Vishwakarma AK, the sample included a total of 194 medical students, consisting of 107 (55.15%) males and 87 (44.85%) females.¹⁵

The overwhelming majority being right-handed (95.9%) is consistent with global patterns of handedness, highlighting the natural predominance of right-handed individuals in the population. Approximately 10% of people are left-handed, but it has remained uncertain whether this is associated with changes in brain anatomy. The blood group distribution within the sample is diverse, with B+being the most common blood group, followed by O+, A+, and AB+. In research by Lyande LB, the most

common blood type was O+ (49.5%), followed by A+ (26.3%), B+ (17.2%), and AB+ (5%), B- (1%) and O- (1%).¹⁷

The analysis of fingerprint patterns across different fingers reveals notable trends in the distribution of whorls and radial loops. Whorls were the most common pattern on both the right and left thumbs, with a higher percentage observed on the right hand (62.1%) compared to the left (53.3%). In research by Kapoor N, a total of 2,900 single-digit fingerprints were collected from 290 participants, and the whorl axis slant was assessed in 743 whorl pattern fingerprints (385 from the right hand and 358 from the left hand). Among the right-hand samples, 81.82% exhibited a slant toward the right, while 80.73% of the left-hand samples showed a slant toward the left.¹⁸

Radial loops were more prevalent on the left thumb (16.2%) than on the right (7.9%), highlighting lateral variation in pattern distribution. Similar trends were observed on the index and middle fingers, where whorls were dominant on both hands, but radial loops appeared more frequently on the left hand. Specifically, the left middle finger exhibited a marked increase in radial loops (22.6%) compared to the right hand (9.5%). In research by Taiwo IA, the radial loop was more common, occurring in 51.5% of cases, compared to the ulnar loop at 48.5%. For the ring and little fingers, whorls again dominated, with the left hand showing a higher frequency of radial loops, especially on the little finger (25.6%) compared to the right (8.2%). These findings suggest that while whorls are the most common fingerprint pattern across all fingers, there is a consistent pattern of increased radial loops on the left hand, particularly in the middle and little fingers.

predominantly exhibited Males whorl patterns on the right thumb, right index, and right ring fingers, while females showed higher frequencies of arches and radial loops, particularly on the left thumb and index fingers. These differences were statistically significant, with chi-square values indicating strong associations (p < 0.05). Notably, females displayed a higher prevalence of radial and ulnar loops on the middle fingers, while males tended to have more whorls. The left little finger showed a particularly strong distinction, with females having significantly more arches and ulnar loops. These patterns suggest that while whorls are more common in males, females tend to have a greater variety of fingerprint patterns, including arches and loops. In Thai research by Nanakorn S, Males exhibited a higher frequency of radial loops on the index finger (9.1% vs. 9%; p < 0.001), whorls on the thumb (39.0% s. 9%; p < 0.001)vs. 32.9%; p < 0.01) and little finger (34.1% vs. 5.3%; p < 0.001), and double whorls on the little finger (6.9% vs. 3.6%; p < 0.01). On the other hand, females had a higher frequency of ulnar loops on the little finger (69.0% vs. 57.0%; p < 0.001) and thumb (39.3% vs. 32.8%; p < 0.001) on their right hands.²⁰

Notably, individuals with blood group B+ve exhibited the highest frequency of whorls on the right thumb, and similar trends were observed across the right index, middle, ring, and little fingers, where certain blood groups, particularly B+ve, were more strongly associated with specific patterns, especially whorls. In research by Sudikshya KC, among "B" blood group, the percentile frequency of loops (51.05%) and arches (6.85%) was higher in the Rhpositive blood group compared to the Rh-negative blood group (loops 36.66% and arches 6.67%). However, whorls (56.67%) were more common in the

Rh-negative blood group than in the Rh-positive blood group (42.10%).²¹

The analysis of fingerprint patterns and blood the left hand reveals significant groups for associations for most fingers, with p-values consistently below 0.05, indicating strong statistical relevance. Specifically, individuals with blood group B+ve exhibited the highest frequency of whorls on the left thumb, with a chi-square value of 117.803 (p < 0.0001). This pattern was similarly observed in the left index and middle fingers, where B+ve and O+ve blood groups were more strongly associated with whorls. These results suggest a consistent correlation between specific blood groups and fingerprint patterns, particularly whorls, across most fingers of the left hand. However, the left little finger did not show a statistically significant association (chi-square = 38.436, p = 0.090), suggesting that this relationship may not apply uniformly across all fingers.

Limitations of the Study

This research was carried out in a non-government medical college and hospital of J.C Road, Dhanbandhi, Sirajganj, but not in government medical College Hospitals and outside Sirajganj.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding: This research was financed by North Bengal Medical College, J.C Road, Dhanbandhi, Sirajganj.

Contributors

SA- Conception, Data acquisition, study design, manuscript writing, final approval and drafting. ABMKH- Conception, study design, and final approval. HRB- Conception, study design, manuscript writing, Data acquisition, final approval and drafting.

Acknowledgement

We would like to express our heartfelt gratitude to all the institutes and participants who participated in this study, as well as the administrators and staff of North Bengal Medical College (NBMC), Chattagram Maa O Shishu Hospital Medical College (CMOSHMC), Dinajpur Medical College and special thanks to the Dept. of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology of Rajshahi Medical College

for help to make structured questionnaire. All the participants, whose support and cooperation were instrumental in the successful completion of this research. Special thanks to department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology and Transfusion Medicine for their invaluable contributions. We also acknowledge the financial and logistical support provided by our respective institutions, which made this study possible.

REFERENCES

- 1. Khan F, Bachh U. Relationship of fingerprint with gender among medical students in Manglore. IJFMT. 2019;13(4):37–40.
- 2. Vij K. Textbook of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology. 3rdn. vol. 3. New Delhi: Elsevier; 2005. p. 89–91.
- 3. Afza R, Khurshid N. A study of fingerprints in relation to gender: A study done on medical students of Skims Medical College Srinagar. Int J Adv Res. 2019;7(7):316–9
- 4. Das NK, Tamuli RP, Saikia B, Sarmah S. Fingerprint patterns of MBBS students: A study from Assam. Int J Med Health Res. 2018;4(4):18–21.
- 5. Eboh D. Fingerprint patterns in relation to gender and blood group among students of Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria. J Exp Clin Anat. 2013;12(2):82.
- 6. Aamir Y, Masood R, Irshad N, Malik R, Farid N, Shahab MA. Relationship between Pattern of Fingerprints and Blood Groups. Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences. 2022 Nov; 16(09): 698-700. doi: 10.53350/pjmhs22169698.
- Králík M, Polcerová L, Čuta M. Sex differences in frequencies of dermatoglyphic patterns by individual fingers. Annals of human biology. 2019; 46(3): 231- 45. doi: 10.1080/03014460.2019.1622778
- 8. Narayana, B. L., Rangaiah, Y. K. C., & Khalid, M. A. (2016). Study of fingerprint patterns in relation to gender and blood group.
- Karki RK, Singh PK. GENDER DETERMINATION FROM FINGERPRINTS. Journal of Universal College of Medical Sciences (2014) Vol.2 No.01Issue05
- 10. Myers IB: The Myers-Briggs type indicator: manual. CPP Inc., Palo Alto, CA; 1962
- 11. Krishan Vij: Textbook of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology Principles & Practice 6e . Krishan Vij (ed): Elsevier India Pvt. Limited, India; 2014.

- Verma P, Sachdeva SK, Verma KG, Saharan S, Sachdeva K: Correlation of lip prints with gender, ABO blood groups and intercommisural distance. N Am J Med Sci. 2013, 5:427-31. 10.4103/1947-2714.115777
- 13. Kanchan T, Chattopadhyay S: Distribution of fingerprint patterns among medical students. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2006, 28:65-8.
- 14. Rosa A, Gutiérrez B, Guerra A, et al. Dermatoglyphics and abnormal palmar flexion creases as markers of early prenatal stress in children with idiopathic intellectual disability. J Intellect Disabil Res 2001; 45(5):416–423. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2788.2001.00351. x.
- Vishwakarma AK ,Thakur PS, Singh BK , Shrivastava M. Fingerprint analysis and gender predilection among medical student.J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2021 Oct-Dec; 43(4): 334-336doi:10.5958/0974-0848.2021.00085.3
- 16. Sha Z, Pepe A, Schijven D, Castillo AC, Roe JM, Westerhausen R, Joliot M, Fisher SE, Crivello F, Frank C. Handedness and its genetic influences are associated with structural asymmetries of the cerebral cortex in 31,864 individuals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021 Nov 16;118(47):e2113095118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2113095118
- 17. Lyande LB, Amisi BM, Kumiele PM, Ekanga L, Lomboto J, Kabinda L and Baruti L. Knowledge of the Distribution and Frequency of ABO and Rhesus Blood Groups in the Community of Yangambi Case of Blood Donors Monitored at the Yangambi Blood Transfusion Center (General Reference Hospital for INERA Yangambi). Series of Medical Science. 2023;4(1):1-8.
- 18. Kapoor N, Badiye A, Mishra SD. Fingerprint analysis for the determination of hand origin (right/left) using the axis slant in whorl patterns. Forensic Sci Res. 2020 Aug 12;7(2):285–289. doi: 10.1080/20961790.2020.1794362
- 19. Taiwo IA, Abati A. Usefulness of Digital Dermatoglyphics as Indicator of Academic Performance among a Group of Nigerian Students. Society for Experimental Biology of Nigeria. 2018; 18(3): 129-133. http://www.ojs.klobexjournals.com/index.php/nisebj
- 20. Nanakorn S, Kutanan W and Chusilp K. An Exploration of Fingerprint Patterns and Their Concordance Among Thai Adolescents. Chiang Mai J. Sci. 2013; 40(3): 332-343

2018.

21. Sudikshya KC, Maharjan N, Adhikari N, Shrestha P. Qualitative Analysis of Primary Fingerprint Pattern in Different Blood Group and Gender in

Nepalese. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2848974.